## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intern Application No PCT/IB 03/01333

A. CLASSI IPC 7	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER A61K31/403 C07D209/52 A61P1/00	A61P11/00 A61P13	/00					
According to	Internalional Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification	ation and IPC						
B. FIELDS	SEARCHED							
Minimum do IPC 7	cumentation searched (classification system followed by classification ${\tt CO7D}$	on symbols)						
	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that s		ned					
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data base	se and, where practical, search terms used)						
EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, CHEM ABS Data								
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT							
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the rel	evant passages	Relevant to claim No.					
A	EP 0 863 141 A (BANYU PHARMA CO L 9 September 1998 (1998-09-09) claim 1	.TD)	1-17					
А	US 6 307 060 B1 (WAELBROECK MAGAL 23 October 2001 (2001-10-23) see formula I, column 1 and parag column 2							
Furt	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in a	nnex.					
ш.	tegories of cited documents :	*T* later document published after the internal	tional filing date					
consider of filling of the which citation other in the community of the citation of the citati	ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another in or other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ent published prior to the international filing date but han the priority date claimed	but published on or after the international may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or establish the publication date of another special reason (as specified) ag to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or need prior to the international filing date but  Calcular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.						
	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the International search 30/07/2003	report					
<u>,</u>	4 July 2003	30/07/2003	·					
Name and I	malling address of the ISA  European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk  Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31–70) 340–3016	Authorized officer  Bérillon, L						

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

onal application No. PCT/IB 03/01333

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain delims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:  1. X Claims Nos:	Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)
because they relate to subject mater not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  Although Claims 4–7 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.  2.   Claims Nos::  because they relate to parts of the international Application that do not compty with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international Search can be carried out, specifically:  see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210  3.   Claims Nos::  because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)  This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1.   As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  2.   As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3.   As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  1.   No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain daims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.  2. X   Claims Nos.:	
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an exert that no meaningful International Search and be carried out, specifically:  See FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210  3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)  This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the Claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(e).  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	3. Claims Nos.:
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  1. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  1. Remark on Protest  1. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
covers only those claims for which fees were pald, specifically claims Nos.:  1. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:    Remark on Protest	2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
covers only those claims for which fees were pald, specifically claims Nos.:  1. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:    Remark on Protest	
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	·
	4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
	Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
	No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

### FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

#### Continuation of Box I.2

Present claims 1-17 relate to compounds defined by reference to a desirable characteristic or property, namely metabolites of formula I. The claims cover all compounds having this characteristic or property, whereas the application provides support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT for only a very limited number of such compounds. In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible. Independent of the above reasoning, the claims also lack clarity (Article 6 PCT). An attempt is made to define the compounds by reference to a result to be achieved. Again, this lack of clarity in the present case is such as to render a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope impossible. Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the claims which appear to be clear, supported and disclosed, namely those parts relating to the compounds having the structure of Formula I and their pharmaceutically acceptable solvates, esters, enantiomers, diastereoisomers, N-oxides or polymorphs.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims, or parts of claims, relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

ition on patent family members

Interni Application No
PCT/IB 03/01333

0.10		_			03, 01355
Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0863141	Α	09-09-1998	AT	205490 T	15-09-2001
			ΑŲ	7145996 A	30-04-1997
			DE	69615214 D1	18-10-2001
			DE	69615214 T2	27-06-2002
			EP	0863141 A1	09-09-1998
		•	US	6130232 A	10-10-2000
			CA	2234619 A1	17-04-1997
			WO	9713766 A1	17-04-1997
US 6307060	B1	23-10-2001	WO	9821183 A1	22-05-1998
			ΑT	238280 T	15-05-2003
			AU	745331 B2	21-03-2002
			AU	4856097 A	03-06-1998
			CN	1237159 A	01-12-1999
			DE	59709927 D1	28-05-2003
•			EP	0937041 A1	25-08-1999
			HU	9903791 A2	28-03-2000
			JP	2001504459 T	03-04-2001
			NO	991056 A	11-05-1999
			NZ	336202 A	27-10-2000
			PL	332595 A1	27-09-1999
			US	2002173536 A1	21-11-2002